

JFA Associates
Washington, D.C.

Conducting Justice and Corrections Research for Effective Policy Making

**TEN YEAR POPULATION PROJECTIONS
FOR THE ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF
COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS FACILITY, PAROLE,
AND PROBATION POPULATIONS**

By

**Wendy Naro-Ware
Roger Ocker**

APRIL 2014

Denver Office: 720 Kearney St. Denver, CO 80220 303-399-3218 (ph) 303-321-0363 (fax)
West Coast Office: 2540 Cayman Rd. Malibu, CA 90265
East Coast Office: 5 Walter Houpe Ct. NE Washington, DC 20002

I. INTRODUCTION

The Arkansas Department of Correction (ADC), the Arkansas Sentencing Commission (ASC), and the Arkansas Department of Community Correction (ACC) requested assistance to produce a forecast of the state's inmate population to be completed in 2014. This forecast has been generated for eventual presentation to the Board of Correction as support for budget requests to the Governor and Legislature. This report represents a comprehensive analysis of all trends to include calendar year 2013 data.

Similar to past efforts, the current forecast was completed by analysis of current parole, probation (including drug court) and ACC facility population trends and analyzing computer extract files provided by the Department of Community Correction. This document is provided as an addendum to the prison population forecast briefing document. This document contains tables detailing statistical trends gleaned from aggregate data and from the compute extract files mentioned above. Also contained in this document is a brief summary of significant trends and an explanation of how these trends influenced the development of simulation models for the parole, probation and ACC facility populations.

II. THE SIMULATION MODEL AND SENTENCING POLICIES

In 1993, the Arkansas Legislature passed the Community Punishment Act (548) which created the Department of Community Punishment. In 2001, further legislation changed the official name of the agency to the Department of Community Correction (DCC), now abbreviated (ACC). The purpose of the agency was (and is) to assume the responsibilities of management of all community punishment facilities and services, execute the orders of the criminal courts of the State of Arkansas and provide for the supervision, treatment, rehabilitation and restoration of adult offenders as useful law-abiding citizens within the community.

The ACC is subject to oversight by the Arkansas Board of Corrections. ACC supervision officers have arrest powers, specialized peace officer status, and the authority to issue parole warrants. Several entities have the authority to administratively issue sanctions: supervision officers, parole/probation supervisors, parole board, judges and senior administrators. The Director of ACC has the ultimate authority to guide the operations of the Arkansas agency and approve the administrative sanctions and incentives in use. The range of sanctions that an approved authority can unilaterally grant include: jail (up to seven days at a time; used no more than ten times; and no more than 30 days accumulated before revocation is recommended); electronic monitoring; increased supervision; community service; day reporting center; written warning; and random drug testing. The range of incentives that an approved authority can unilaterally grant includes: less frequent reporting to officer; reduced supervision level; reduced drug and alcohol testing; waiver of supervision fees; extended curfew; travel permits; verbal

recognition by supervision officer; certificate of compliance; earned compliance credits; and early discharge from supervision.

Offenders enter ACC supervision via the standard probation and parole models. Probationers are sentenced to a term of supervision in lieu of prison time by the courts. Parolees enter supervision after they are deemed eligible for release from prison by both their sentencing requirements and the Parole Board. In Arkansas, a person on either parole or probation that has served 50 percent of their supervision time is moved to inactive status. On inactive status, an offender is required to report once annually. ACC facilities are used by the courts to sentence offenders to some incarceration before beginning a term of probation and also as an alternative sanction against persons on supervision to avoid full revocation to prison. This simplified explanation of the Arkansas community correction system is the baseline for the projection model developed by JFA Associates.

The forecast of the community supervision population in Arkansas was completed using Wizard projection software. This computerized simulation model mimics the flow of persons through Arkansas' community correction system over a ten-year forecast horizon and produces monthly projections. Wizard is an enhanced version of Prophet Simulation software.

Wizard attempts to mimic the state's sentencing structure and the flow of offenders from the ADC (in the case of parolees) and from the courts (in the case of probationers). Because policy and sentencing play such a crucial role in the model construction, a brief discussion of the major sentencing and policy changes impacting the model follows.

Since the ACC's creation, numerous changes had been made to Arkansas' sentencing laws. Examples of changes that have been incorporated into the Wizard simulation model include elements of the Arkansas Sentencing Standards, enacted on January 1, 1994, and of Acts 1326, 1135 and 1268. Further legislation taken into account include comprehensive corrections reforms enacted in 2011 under Act 570, emergency jail release mechanisms enacted in Acts 418 and 1721 and most recently Act 1029.

On January 1, 1994, Arkansas put into effect a sentencing grid that uses a combination of the seriousness of the current offense and the offender's criminal history to arrive at a presumptive sentence. Guidelines in Arkansas are advisory and court use is voluntary. Courts may sentence within the entire statutory range of an offense. Felony crimes in Arkansas are categorized into ten levels of seriousness with 10 as the most serious. The offender's criminal history score is determined through allocation of points for any prior convictions/adjudications. Included within this sentencing grid are alternative sanctions to incarceration which, in large part, refer to probation. This design, seemingly, promotes the use of probation for non-violent, non-career criminals.

In March 2011 Arkansas passed ACT 570, a comprehensive corrections reform bill aimed at curbing inmate population growth and providing more complete services to offenders in the community. ACT 570 focused on 8 main initiatives:

1. Merging of Sentencing Guidelines and Sentencing and Commitment Form
2. Parole Release Risk Assessment Instrument/Parole Release from Jail
3. Changes in Drug Statutes/Weights
4. Changes in Theft/Property Threshold Amounts
5. Earned Discharge From Parole and Probation
6. 120 day Electronic Monitoring Early Release for Non-Violent Offenders
7. Intermediate Sanction for Probation and Parole Violators
8. Performance Incentive Funding(PIF)/Hope Courts

Each of the initiatives, with the exception of PIF/Hope Courts, carries a projected impact on supervision caseloads in the ACC. Each of these initiatives and their respective impacts are tracked in the prison population projections report produced for the ASC, the ADC and the ACC.

Of particular impact to parole and probation was the addition of earned discharge credits for parole and probationers, 120 day electronic monitoring and intermediate sanctions. More in-depth discussion of these changes follows.

Earned Discharge Credits

Section 82 of Act 570 created the earned discharge release provisions. If a person is incarcerated for an eligible felony, whether by an immediate commitment or after his or her probation is revoked, and after he or she is moved to community supervision through parole or transfer by the Parole Board, or if he or she is placed on probation, he or she is immediately eligible to begin earning daily credits that shall count toward reducing the number of days he or she is otherwise required to serve until he or she has completed the sentence.

Credits equal to thirty (30) days per month for every month that the offender complies with court-ordered conditions and a set of predetermined criteria established by the ACC in consultation with judges, prosecuting attorneys, and defense counsel shall accrue while the person is on parole or probation.

The ACC shall calculate the number of days the person has remaining to serve on parole or probation before that person completes his or her sentence. The number of days shall be recalculated on a monthly basis to reflect the application of any credits earned under this subchapter. The department shall have sole discretion to forfeit any credits a person earns under this subchapter unless otherwise provided for in this section. The award or forfeiture of any credits earned under this subchapter is not subject to appeal or judicial review. A person convicted of another felony offense while on parole or probation may result in the forfeiture of any credits.

The following felony offenses shall be eligible for earned discharge and completion of the sentence under this subchapter: All Class D, Class C, and Class B felonies, except:

- An offense for which sex offender registration is required under the Sex Offender Registration Act of 1997;
- A felony involving violence under A.C.A. § 5-4-501(d)(2);
- Kidnapping, Manslaughter, or Driving while intoxicated;
- All Class A controlled substance offenses; and
- A Class Y felony.

120 Day Electronic Monitoring

The 120 early release clause comes from section 105 of ACT 570, and states that an inmate serving a sentence in the Department of Correction may be released from incarceration to electronic monitoring (EM) if the:

- Inmate has served one hundred twenty (120) days of his or her sentence;
- Sentence was not the result of a jury or bench verdict;
- Inmate has an approved parole plan;
- Inmate was sentenced from a cell in the sentencing guidelines that does not include incarceration in the presumptive range;
- Conviction is for a Class C or Class D felony;
- Conviction is not for a crime of violence, regardless of felony level;
- Conviction is not a sex offense, regardless of felony level;
- Conviction is not for manufacture of methamphetamine;
- Conviction is not for possession of drug paraphernalia with the purpose to manufacture methamphetamine, if the conviction is a Class C felony or higher;
- Conviction is not a crime involving the threat of violence or bodily harm;
- Conviction is not for a crime that resulted in a death; and
- Inmate has not previously failed drug court program.

The Director of ADC or the Director of ACC shall make the factors of consideration known to the Parole Board for consideration of electronic monitoring. The Board of Corrections shall promulgate rules that will establish policy and procedures for an electronic monitoring program. An inmate released from incarceration on parole under this section shall be supervised by the ACC using electronic monitoring until the inmate's transfer eligibility date or for at least ninety (90) days of full compliance by the inmate, whichever is sooner. The term of electronic monitoring shall not exceed the maximum number of years of imprisonment or supervision to which the inmate could be sentenced. The length of time the defendant participates on electronic monitoring program and any good-time credit awarded shall be credited against the defendant's sentence.

Intermediate Sanctions

Lastly, In accordance with new policies and procedures, Act 570 mandated the creation and implementation of an intermediate sanctions grid that was designed to reduce the number of probation violations returned to prison.

The ACC developed and implemented statewide formal structures to determine an appropriate administrative response approach, including both sanctions and incentives. In the case of sanctions, the ACC developed the Arkansas Interventions Accountability Matrix (ArAIM), which classifies violations according to three levels of seriousness (Low, Medium, and High) with potential responses from the supervising officer being specified for each type of violation within each level of seriousness. The structure for incentives is similar, specifying specific achievements and potential incentives in response. The agency is required to use the formal structures, but deviations from the structures are permitted upon authorization by appropriate authorities. Decisions to deviate from the formal structures are authorized when the probationer/parolees' compliance with the terms of supervision are such that the formal decision matrices are inadequate or unavailable.

Any probationer/parolee under the supervision of the Department of Community Correction is subject to administrative sanctions and incentives, as specified by department policy and by statute.

Both ArAIM and the incentives grid were implemented statewide. Supervising officers in Arkansas have undergone statewide training in the use of administrative responses. Data has been collected by the ACC to support the evaluation of the outcome process, which include: type of violation; number and type of sanctions imposed; average number of jail days imposed; recidivism rate of probationers/parolees participating in administrative responses and average amount of time on supervision.

Changes in 2013

In 2013 numerous policy changes were enacted by the Arkansas Board of Corrections. They are listed here to assist in explaining the increase in parole violator revocations in 2013.

Arkansas Board of Corrections Policy Changes 2013:

1. ACC will not release parole holds on individuals awaiting a revocation hearing pursuant to requests from jail personnel.
2. All requests for release of holds made by sheriffs or jail personnel must be in writing.
3. Parolees charged with a violent felony as defined by Act 1029 of 2013 or a violent or sex related misdemeanor will be jailed and a revocation hearing requested.

4. Parolees charged with any other felony will either be jailed or placed on GPS Monitoring and a revocation hearing requested.
5. Parolees who have absconded will be jailed and a revocation hearing requested. Absconding is defined as Evading Supervision for more than 180 days.
6. Parolees who have two prior violations for evading supervision for less than 180 days will be jailed and a revocation hearing requested upon a third (3) violation. A warrant for evading supervision is issued when a parolee fails to report and cannot be located for 30 days.
7. Parolees who have evaded supervision for more than 90 days that have a history of a violent felony as defined by Act 1029 of 2013 or a sex related misdemeanor will be jailed and a revocation hearing requested. All requests for revocations and denials thereof will be fully documented in the offender's case file. A parole hold will remain in effect on an ACT 3 Mental Evaluation until the hearing is completed.

The immediate impact of these changes has been:

- An increase in total parole revocation hearings held which in turn led to an increase in the number parole revocations to prison.
- An increase in both new charge parole revocations and reintroduction of a large volume of technical violators returned to prison -- most notable in seriousness levels 1-6.

III. SUMMARY OF MAJOR TRENDS FOR 2013

2013 PAROLE & PROBATION FORECAST – ACCURACY

- Projections for the 2013 forecast were tracked from May 2013 to March 2014 (11 months). The active probation population was forecasted to an accuracy of +2.8 percent while inactive was forecasted to an accuracy of -1.4 percent. Active parole was forecasted to an accuracy of 3.1 percent and inactive parole to an accuracy of 9.3 percent.

PROBATION TRENDS 2013

- Arkansas Department of Community Correction (ACC) probation admissions decreased by 3.5 percent in 2013. This is the second consecutive year probation admissions have decreased. Probation admissions in 2013 were at their lowest level since 2010.
- In 2012, the decrease in probation admissions was coupled with a decrease in prison admissions. In 2013, the decrease in probation admissions occurred in a year when the prison admissions skyrocketed, especially among non-violent offenders. For the first time, prison admissions were greater than probations admissions, a rare occurrence in any criminal justice system. This indicates an under-utilization of probation as an alternative to incarceration.
- The average sentence of probation offenders admitted remained stable between 2012 and 2013. Probationers in 2013 were sentenced to an average term of supervision of 47.5 months, on par with 47.2 months in 2012.
- As a result of the continued decrease in probation admissions, the number of active probationers (at year end) decreased by 2.7 percent between 2012 and 2013.
- Similar but not to the extent of active probationers, in 2013 the inactive probation population decreased by 1.8 percent.
- At year end 2013, drug court cases accounted for 8.2 percent of the active probation supervision case load, up from 7.2 percent in 2012.
- In 2013, for all probationers exiting supervision, the average length of stay (LOS) under community supervision was 30.6 months.
- Breaking down releases from probation by type, probation discharges averaged a LOS of 40.0 months while technical revocations and new felony revocations averaged a LOS of 15.6 and 21.0 months respectively.

- New felony probation revocations increased very dramatically by 20.0 percent between 2012 and 2013. This dramatic trend reflects the environment of the state and its attitude toward revocations for new felony arrests.

PAROLE TRENDS 2013

- Following a similar trend in probation admissions, parole intakes decreased by 1.9 percent in 2013.
- Parolees in 2013 were sentenced to an average term of supervision of 59.8 months, on par with 59.9 months in 2012.
- Parole technical revocations increased by the largest rate in Arkansas history, 76.8 percent in 2013 to 5,186 revocations. Although these revocations are recorded as technical revocations in the parole release cohort, they are recorded mainly as parole revocations with a new charge in the prison admissions cohort (see the prison population projections companions briefing report). This discrepancy is a reflection of the “stage” at which these violations are reported. Most violations are initially technical in nature. As the justice system moves an offender from supervision to revocation to prison, a new crime can be adjudicated and reported in the prison data system. Termination from the ACC data system may end with the input of the technical violation.
- As a result of the large number of revocations returned from parole, the active parole population decreased by 5.3 percent between year-end 2012 and year-end 2013.
- Parole technical revocations to the Arkansas Department of Correction (ADC) accounted for 27.2 percent of releases (3,051 cases). This is a huge increase compared to recent years:
 - 6.0 percent (548 cases) of parole releases in 2012
 - 6.5 percent (543 cases) of parole releases in 2011
 - 15.0 percent (1,516 cases) of parole releases in 2010
 - 10.2 percent (836 cases) of parole releases in 2009
 - 8.2 percent (683 cases) of parole releases in 2008.
 - 5.3 percent (411 cases) of parole releases in 2007.
 - This number was 276 cases in 2006.
- Releases from parole supervision increased by 25.6 percent between 2012 and 2013. This growth was fueled primarily by increases in new felony and technical revocations to the ADC.
- Technical parole violators were supervised an average of 9.0 months before being revoked while new felony violators had served 14.1 months.

PROJECTIONS 2014

- The overall probation population is projected to decline in the next 10 years from a total of 29,696 at the end of 2014 to 25,577 at end of 2024. The projected continued decrease is due in part to a decline in the number of probation admissions combined with the earned time credits awarded while under supervision.
- The total probation population is broken down into two groups, offenders on active supervision and offenders under inactive supervision. At the end of December 2013, the active probation population was 20,597. Due to the historical decline in probation admissions, the active probation population is projected to be 19,403 at year end 2014. It was assumed for this forecast that probation admissions would remain stable at observed 2014 levels. As a result, by December 2024, the number of persons under active probation supervision is projected to increase to 24,542. The projected change represents a modest average annual increases of 2.9 percent per year through the year 2024.
- For the inactive probation population, at the end of December 2013 the inactive probation population was 10,400. The population is projected to be 10,293 at year end 2014. By December 2024, the number of persons under inactive probation supervision is projected to be 1,035. The decrease in the inactive probation population is fueled by the earned time credits authorized under ACT 570. Assumptions based on the credits being awarded will be tracked and modified with each iteration of this report.
- The overall parole population is projected to decline slightly in the next 10 years from a total of 21,921 at the end of 2014 to 21,402 at end of 2024. The projected continued decrease is due in part to the stabilization of the number of parole admissions combined with the earned time credits awarded while under supervision.
- The total parole population is broken down into two groups, offenders on active supervision and offenders under inactive supervision. At the end of December 2013, the active parole population was 13,484. The population is projected to increase marginally to 13,895 in year end 2014. By December 2024, the number of persons under active parole supervision is projected to continue to increase to 19,573. The projected change represents average annual increases of 3.5 percent per year through the year 2024. The projected increase in the active parole population is generated through increased releases from prison, particularly due to the dramatic increase in admissions in 2013.
- At the end of December 2013, the inactive parole population was 8,740. The population is projected to decrease to 8,026 in 2014. By December 2024, the number of persons under inactive parole supervision is projected to be 1,829.

The decrease in the inactive parole population is fueled by the earned time credits authorized under ACT 570. Assumptions based on the credits being awarded will be tracked and modified with each iteration of this report.

IV. CRIME & POPULATION TRENDS

**TABLE 1
ARKANSAS PROJECTED DEMOGRAPHIC TRENDS 2013-2020**

Year	Total Resident Population	Annual Percent Change
<i>Actual 2013</i>	<i>2,959,373</i>	
2014	3,042,351	2.8%
2015	3,107,353	2.1%
2016	3,141,259	1.1%
2017	3,176,134	1.1%
2018	3,212,008	1.1%
2019	3,248,897	1.1%
2020	3,286,838	1.2%
Avg. Projected Change 2013-2020	n/a	1.5%

Source: University of Arkansas Institute for Economic Advancement

**TABLE 2
UCR CRIME RATES FOR ARKANSAS AND BORDER STATES – 2012**

State	Violent Crime Rate	Property Crime Rate	Total Crime Rate
Arkansas	469.1	3,660.1	4,129.2
US	386.9	2,859.2	3,246.1
Louisiana	496.9	3,540.6	4,037.5
Missouri	450.9	3,314.4	3,765.3
Mississippi	260.8	2,811.0	3,071.8
Oklahoma	469.3	3,401.0	3,870.3
Tennessee	343.6	3,371.4	3,715.0
Texas	408.6	3,361.8	3,770.4

Source: www.FBI.gov

**TABLE 3
COMPARISON BETWEEN UNITED STATES AND ARKANSAS
ON KEY POPULATION AND CRIME DEMOGRAPHICS**

	United States	Arkansas
POPULATION¹		
Total Population (7/1/13)	316,128,839	2,959,373
Change in Population		
1-year change (7/1/12 – 7/1/13)	0.7%	0.4%
10-year change (7/1/03 – 7/1/13)	8.9%	8.7%
CRIME RATE² (Rate per 100,000 inhabitants)		
UCR Part I Reported Crime Rates (2012)		
Total	3,246.1	4,129.2
Violent	386.9	469.1
Property	2,859.2	3,660.1
Change in Total Reported Crime Rate		
1-year change (2011-2012)	-1.5%	-2.5%
10-year change (2002-2012)	-21.3%	-0.8%
PRISON POPULATION³		
Total Inmates (State Prisons Only) 2013	1,352,582	17,211
1-year change (2012-2013)	-2.2%	17.7%
10-year change (2003-2013)	5.9%	31.3%
Average annual change (2003-2013)	0.6%	2.9%
State Incarceration Rate (per 100,000 inhabitants) ⁴	418	494
PAROLE POPULATION (2012)^{5***}	740,419	22,224
Rate per 100,000 Adult Population	307	1,041
PROBATION POPULATION (2012)^{6***}	3,920,839	30,997
Rate per 100,000 Adult Population	1,624	1,341

***Year end 2012 is the latest count available for the US; ***US: States only, federal supervision excluded*

¹ U.S. Census Bureau, Population estimates for July 1, 2013.

² Uniform Crime Reports, Crime in the United States – 2012, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

³ Prisoners in 2012 – Trends in Admissions and Releases 1991 - 2012, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Dec. 2013

⁴ Prisoners in 2012 – Advance Counts, July. 2013; US (excludes federal prisons) & AR data for sentenced prisoners only in 2012.

⁵ Probation and Parole in the United States, 2012 Bureau of Justice Statistics, Dec. 2013; AR: Statewide Field Operations Report 1/1/13-12/31/13

⁶ US: Probation and Parole in the United States, 2012 Bureau of Justice Statistics, Dec. 2013; AR: Statewide Field Operations Report 1/1/13-12/31/13 (includes drug court)

V. ACCURACY OF PREVIOUS PAROLE AND PROBATION FORECASTS

**TABLE 4
ACCURACY OF THE 2013 PROBATION PROJECTIONS**

Month	Projected Active	Actual Active	Numeric Diff.	% Diff.	Projected Inactive	Actual Inactive	Numeric Diff.	%Diff.	Projected Total	Actual Total	Numeric Diff.	%Diff.
May-13	20,616	20,732	-116	-0.6%	10,863	10,413	450	4.3%	31,479	31,145	334	1.1%
June-13	20,599	20,545	54	0.3%	10,854	10,445	409	3.9%	31,453	30,990	463	1.5%
July-13	20,577	20,507	70	0.3%	10,853	10,501	352	3.4%	31,430	31,008	422	1.4%
August-13	20,567	20,517	50	0.2%	10,828	10,505	323	3.1%	31,395	31,022	373	1.2%
September-13	20,573	20,450	123	0.6%	10,801	10,580	221	2.1%	31,374	31,030	344	1.1%
October-13	20,535	20,522	13	0.1%	10,787	10,516	271	2.6%	31,322	31,038	284	0.9%
November-13	20,535	20,511	24	0.1%	10,779	10,458	321	3.1%	31,314	30,969	345	1.1%
December-13	20,536	20,597	-61	-0.3%	10,783	10,400	383	3.7%	31,319	30,997	322	1.0%
January-14	22,278	20,310	1,968	9.7%	9,022	10,476	-1,454	-13.9%	31,300	30,786	514	1.7%
February-14	22,289	20,263	2,026	10.0%	9,001	10,439	-1,438	-13.8%	31,290	30,702	588	1.9%
March-14	22,294	20,172	2,122	10.5%	8,976	10,384	-1,408	-13.6%	31,270	30,556	714	2.3%
Average			570	2.8%			-143	-1.4%			428	1.4%

**TABLE 5
ACCURACY OF THE 2013 PAROLE PROJECTIONS**

Month	Projected Active	Actual Active	Numeric Diff.	% Diff.	Projected Inactive	Actual Inactive	Numeric Diff.	%Diff.	Projected Total	Actual Total	Numeric Diff.	%Diff.
May-13	14,229	14,183	46	0.3%	9,744	9,425	319	3.4%	23,973	23,608	365	1.5%
June-13	14,118	14,358	-240	-1.7%	9,762	9,545	217	2.3%	23,880	23,903	-23	-0.1%
July-13	14,086	13,993	93	0.7%	9,804	9,459	345	3.6%	23,890	23,452	438	1.9%
August-13	14,059	13,437	622	4.6%	9,786	9,378	408	4.4%	23,845	22,815	1,030	4.5%
September-13	14,048	13,522	526	3.9%	9,777	9,137	640	7.0%	23,825	22,659	1,166	5.1%
October-13	14,058	13,300	758	5.7%	9,785	8,897	888	10.0%	23,843	22,197	1,646	7.4%
November-13	14,044	13,437	607	4.5%	9,774	8,684	1,090	12.6%	23,818	22,121	1,697	7.7%
December-13	14,036	13,484	552	4.1%	9,770	8,740	1,030	11.8%	23,806	22,224	1,582	7.1%
January-14	14,205	13,408	797	5.9%	9,603	8,479	1,124	13.3%	23,808	21,887	1,921	8.8%
February-14	14,203	13,728	475	3.5%	9,603	8,302	1,301	15.7%	23,806	22,030	1,776	8.1%
March-14	14,198	13,786	412	3.0%	9,599	8,082	1,517	18.8%	23,797	21,868	1,929	8.8%
Average			423	3.1%			807	9.3%			1,230	5.5%

VI. PROBATION TRENDS AND FORECAST

**TABLE 6
HISTORICAL PROBATION ADMISSIONS
CY 2004 THRU 2013**

CY	Admit Type							Total
	From ADC	From DCC	From Court	Arkansas ISC from other state	From other ISC states	Other	Drug Court	
2004	61	113	7,527	111	512	15	560	8,899
2005	57	151	7,341	110	436	21	756	8,872
2006	42	113	7,481	95	474	13	889	9,107
2007	54	62	8,298	144	706	9	750	10,023
2008	35	60	7,789	156	495	10	753	9,298
2009	30	74	7,720	124	148	8	730	8,834
2010	23	64	7,327	137	88	6	664	8,309
2011	24	63	8,188	178	599	13	689	9,754
2012	18	85	7,798	138	567	18	772	9,396
2013	19	89	7,224	142	591	8	995	9,068
Numeric Change 2012-2013	1	4	-574	4	24	-10	223	-328
Percent Change 2012-2013	5.6%	4.7%	-7.4%	2.9%	4.2%	-55.6%	28.9%	-3.5%
Percent Change 2004-2013	-68.9%	-21.2%	-4.0%	27.9%	15.4%	-46.7%	77.7%	1.9%
Average Percent Change 2004-2013	-10.2%	0.9%	-0.2%	5.1%	53.5%	4.1%	7.8%	0.5%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

**TABLE 7
PROBATION ADMISSIONS CY 2012 & 2013 COMPARISON**

Admit Type	N	%	2013 Avg. Sentence (mos.)	2012 Avg. Sentence (mos.)
From ADC	19	0.2%	46.3	42.4
From DCC	89	1.0%	55.0	38.8
From Court	7,224	79.7%	48.4	48.2
Arkansas ISC from other state	142	1.6%	44.6	45.3
From other ISC states	591	6.5%	46.3	43.2
Other	8	0.1%	51.7	42.7
Drug Court	995	11.0%	42.1	40.6
Total	9,068	100.0%	47.5	47.2

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report & EOMIS data file

**TABLE 8
HISTORICAL ACTIVE PROBATION POPULATION
END OF CY 2004 THRU 2013**

CY	Max.	Med	Min	Annual Reporting	Absconder	Drug Court	Total Active cases
2004	414	12,862	4,416	559	11	637	18,899
2005	313	11,465	6,659	544	7	970	19,958
2006	301	12,291	6,618	510	6	1,109	20,835
2007	242	11,073	8,305	548	1	1,167	21,336
2008	371	10,179	8,672	587	5	1,359	21,173
2009	351	9,387	8,291	1,183	0	1,442	20,654
2010	305	8,886	7,789	1,599	0	1,442	20,021
2011	343	6,188	11,467	2,068	0	1,522	21,588
2012	1,023	4,977	11,368	2,209	0	1,600	21,177
2013	1,237	4,578	11,440	1,663	0	1,679	20,597
Numeric Change 2012-2013	214	-399	72	-546	n/a	79	-580
Percent Change 2012-2013	20.9%	-8.0%	0.6%	-24.7%	n/a	4.9%	-2.7%
Percent Change 2004-2013	198.8%	-64.4%	159.1%	197.5%	n/a	163.6%	9.0%
Average Percent Change 2004-2013	24.3%	-10.3%	13.0%	17.1%	n/a	12.2%	1.0%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

**TABLE 9
HISTORICAL INACTIVE PROBATION POPULATION
END OF CY 2004 THRU 2013**

CY	Unsupervised	Absconder not in custody	AR compact cases in other states	Non reporting - released to detainer	Incarcerated	Drug Court	Total Inactive
2004	2,698	5,777	1,447	264	1,135	278	11,599
2005	2,616	5,868	1,408	356	1,123	333	11,704
2006	2,424	6,297	1,252	352	1,287	401	12,013
2007	2,205	6,230	1,294	537	1,297	405	11,968
2008	2,245	5,801	1,272	667	1,198	481	11,664
2009	1,756	5,451	1,284	763	1,327	464	11,045
2010	1,535	4,575	1,278	831	1,358	464	10,041
2011	1,559	4,440	1,432	909	1,335	575	10,250
2012	1,513	4,455	1,406	1,021	1,556	642	10,593
2013	1,255	4,549	1,417	990	1,482	707	10,400
Numeric Change 2012-2013	-258	94	11	-31	-74	65	-193
Percent Change 2012-2013	-17.1%	2.1%	0.8%	-3.0%	-4.8%	10.1%	-1.8%
Percent Change 2004-2013	-53.5%	-21.3%	-2.1%	275.0%	30.6%	154.3%	-10.3%
Average Percent Change 2004-2013	-7.8%	-2.4%	-0.1%	16.9%	3.3%	11.3%	-1.1%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

**TABLE 10
HISTORICAL PROBATION RELEASES
CY 2004 THRU 2013**

CY	New Felony	Technical	Other Revocation	Discharge	Other	Drug Court Revoked	Drug Court Other	Total
2004	813	845	1	4,157	1,737	157	80	7,790
2005	718	922	1	4,192	1,372	190	222	7,617
2006	766	922	1	4,297	1,440	177	353	7,956
2007	867	1,252	1	4,964	1,894	204	435	9,617
2008	846	1,068	1	5,233	1,803	268	499	9,718
2009	783	1,060	0	5,318	1,570	307	570	9,608
2010	726	1,008	2	5,573	1,449	303	497	9,558
2011	798	988	0	5,382	1,910	390	576	10,044
2012	649	1,613	103	4,840	1,851	475	533	10,064
2013	779	1,447	202	4,895	1,965	520	489	10,297
Numeric Change 2012-2013	130	-166	99	55	114	45	-44	233
Percent Change 2012-2013	20.0%	-10.3%	96.1%	1.1%	6.2%	9.5%	-8.3%	2.3%
Percent Change 2004-2013	-4.2%	71.2%	n/a	17.8%	13.1%	231.2%	511.3%	32.2%
Average Percent Change 2004-2013	0.3%	8.4%	n/a	2.0%	2.8%	14.9%	30.7%	3.3%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report; Note: starting in 2011 a lag in time between reclassification of revocations from "other" to "technical" began to occur. This lag was corrected for 2011 onward. It can be assumed that the majority of 'other revocations' in 2011 are actually technical.

**TABLE 11
PROBATION RELEASES 2013 – COLLAPSED**

Release Type	N	%	2013 Avg. Length of Stay (mos.)	2012 Avg. Length of Stay (mos.)
New Felony	779	7.6%	21.0	21.5
Technical	1,447	14.1%	15.6	11.4
Discharge	4,895	47.5%	40.0	40.4
Other	2,167	21.0%	17.0	16.8
Drug Court revoked	520	5.1%	4.8	4.7
Drug Court other	489	4.7%	28.2	26.4
Total	10,297	100.0%	30.6	29.9

Source: DCC extract file

**TABLE 12
HISTORICAL VERSUS PROJECTED PROBATION POPULATIONS
2004-2024**

Year	Historical Active	Historical Inactive	Historical Total	Projected Active	Projected Inactive	Projected Total
2004	18,899	11,599	30,498			
2005	19,958	11,704	31,662			
2006	20,835	12,013	32,848			
2007	21,336	11,968	33,304			
2008	21,173	11,664	32,837			
2009	20,654	11,045	31,699			
2010	20,021	10,041	30,062			
2011	21,588	10,250	31,838			
2012	21,099	10,593	31,692			
2013	20,597	10,400	30,997	20,597	10,400	30,997
2014				19,403	10,293	29,696
2015				20,714	8,484	29,198
2016				22,739	5,809	28,548
2017				22,787	5,156	27,943
2018				23,942	3,569	27,511
2019				24,074	2,919	26,993
2020				24,144	2,445	26,589
2021				24,219	1,990	26,209
2022				24,714	1,306	26,020
2023				24,623	1,131	25,754
2024				24,542	1,035	25,577
Avg. % Change 2004-2013	1.0%	-1.1%	0.2%			
Avg. % Change 2014-2024				2.9%	-27.7%	-1.8%

VII. PAROLE TRENDS AND FORECAST

**TABLE 13
HISTORICAL PAROLE ADMISSIONS
CY 2003 THRU 2013**

CY	Admit Type						Total
	From ADC	From DCC	From Court	Arkansas ISC from other state	From other ISC states	Other	
2003	5,423	734	13	40	200	160	6,570
2004	5,792	1,192	10	40	215	11	7,260
2005	5,332	2,107	3	38	229	11	7,720
2006	5,289	2,991	6	36	226	6	8,554
2007	5,694	2,985	13	48	271	10	9,021
2008	6,225	3,022	9	80	245	5	9,586
2009	6,513	3,283	13	90	323	7	10,229
2010	6,161	3,081	8	126	281	11	9,668
2011	6,612	2,768	9	123	298	7	9,817
2012	6,056	3,419	9	170	288	2	9,944
2013	6,000	3,246	9	190	308	0	9,753
Numeric Change 2012-2013	-56	-173	0	20	20	-2	-191
Percent Change 2012-2013	-0.9%	-5.1%	0.0%	11.8%	6.9%	-	-1.9%
Percent Change 2003-2013	10.6%	n/a	-30.8%	375.0%	54.0%	-	48.4%
Average Percent Change 2003-2013	1.2%	19.3%	11.1%	19.0%	5.1%	-23.3%	4.2%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

TABLE 14
CY 2012 & 2013 PAROLE ADMISSIONS COMPARISON

Admit Type	N	%	2013 Avg. Term (mos.)	2012 Avg. Term (mos.)
From ADC	6,000	61.5%	70.3	70.4
From DCC	3,246	33.3%	38.7	39.1
From Court	9	0.1%	50.6	45.1
Arkansas ISC from other state	190	1.9%	60.1	65.5
From other ISC states	308	3.2%	67.4	48.8
Other	0	0.0%	-	35.2
Total	9,753	100.0%	59.8	59.5

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report & EOMIS data file

**TABLE 15
HISTORICAL ACTIVE PAROLE POPULATION
CY 2003 THRU 2013**

Year	Max.	Med	Min	Annual Reporting	Total Active cases
2003	1,013	5,617	2,450	531	9,611
2004	1,076	6,194	2,217	576	10,063
2005	1,021	6,231	3,004	526	10,782
2006	1,018	6,959	2,908	505	11,390
2007	1,195	6,504	4,357	430	12,486
2008	900	6,753	4,226	582	12,461
2009	847	7,346	4,360	1,126	13,679
2010	752	7,237	4,218	1,592	13,799
2011	975	6,199	5,486	1,983	14,643
2012	1,913	5,588	4,938	1,799	14,238
2013	2,011	5,406	4,674	1,393	13,484
Numeric Change 2012-2013	98	-182	-264	-406	-754
Percent Change 2012-2013	5.1%	-3.3%	-5.3%	-22.6%	-5.3%
Percent Change 2003-2013	98.5%	-3.8%	90.8%	162.3%	40.3%
Average Percent Change 2003-2013	10.7%	0.0%	8.4%	14.4%	3.6%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

**TABLE 16
HISTORICAL INACTIVE PAROLE POPULATION
CY 2003 THRU 2013**

Year	Unsupervised	Absconder not in custody	AR compact cases in other states	Non reporting - released to detainer	Incarcerated	Total Inactive
2003	472	1,490	743	350	410	3,465
2004	1,179	1,878	614	342	598	4,611
2005	1,478	2,399	672	381	760	5,690
2006	2,011	2,840	657	409	816	6,733
2007	2,096	2,335	590	538	1,101	6,660
2008	2,590	2,082	1,127	662	1,052	7,513
2009	2,576	1,955	1,271	756	1,208	7,766
2010	2,673	1,891	1,369	868	1,174	7,975
2011	2,861	2,067	1,510	940	1,386	8,764
2012	3,191	2,136	1,532	944	1,616	9,419
2013	2,611	2,252	1,615	947	1,315	8,740
Numeric Change 2012-2013	-580	116	83	3	-301	-679
Percent Change 2012-2013	-18.2%	5.4%	5.4%	0.3%	-18.6%	-7.2%
Percent Change 2003-2013	453.2%	51.1%	117.4%	170.6%	220.7%	152.2%
Average Percent Change 2003-2013	24.3%	5.2%	10.8%	10.9%	13.9%	10.3%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

**TABLE 17
HISTORICAL PAROLE RELEASES
CY 2003 THRU 2013**

CY	New Felony	Technical	Other Revocation	Discharge	Other	Total
2003	897	2,049	4	2,344	1,063	6,357
2004	684	1,935	0	2,118	506	5,243
2005	943	1,677	1	2,214	649	5,484
2006	961	1,182	33	2,442	666	5,284
2007	932	1,520	48	2,480	719	5,699
2008	815	1,849	62	3,741	1,349	7,816
2009	980	2,080	112	3,476	1,637	8,285
2010	982	2,366	86	2,961	1,679	8,074
2011	841	1,807	350	3,477	1,922	8,397
2012	660	2,933	63	3,444	1,835	8,935
2013	818	5,186	54	3,290	1,876	11,224
Numeric Change 2012-2013	158	2,253	n/a	-154	41	2,289
Percent Change 2012-2013	23.9%	76.8%	n/a	-4.5%	2.2%	25.6%
Percent Change 2003-2013	-8.8%	153.1%	n/a	40.4%	76.5%	76.6%
Average Percent Change 2003-2013	0.9%	14.4%	n/a	4.8%	11.0%	6.8%

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report; Note: starting in 2011 a lag in time between reclassification of revocations from "other" to "technical" began to occur. This lag was corrected for 2012 onward. It can be assumed that the majority of 'other revocations' in 2011 are actually technical.

**TABLE 18
PAROLE RELEASES 2013- COLLAPSED**

Release Type	N	%	2013 Avg. Length of Parole (mos.)	2012 Avg. Length of Parole (mos.)
New Felony	818	7.3%	14.1	18.1
Technical	5,186	46.2%	9.0	15.3
Discharge	3,290	29.3%	31.2	32.5
Other	1,930	17.2%	12.7	13.1
Total	11,224	100.0%	17.1	24.2

Source: Statewide Parole Probation Service Report

TABLE 19
HISTORICAL VERSUS PROJECTED PAROLE POPULATIONS
2003-2024

Year	Historical Active	Historical Inactive	Historical Total	Projected Active	Projected Inactive	Projected Total
2003	9,611	3,465	13,076			
2004	10,063	4,611	14,674			
2005	10,782	5,690	16,472			
2006	11,390	6,733	18,123			
2007	12,486	6,660	19,146			
2008	12,461	7,513	19,974			
2009	13,679	7,766	21,445			
2010	13,799	7,975	21,774			
2011	14,643	8,764	23,407			
2012	14,238	9,419	23,657			
2013	13,484	8,740	22,224	13,484	8,740	22,224
2014				13,895	8,026	21,921
2015				13,668	7,874	21,542
2016				13,476	7,696	21,172
2017				13,694	7,515	21,209
2018				14,849	6,289	21,138
2019				15,422	5,690	21,112
2020				16,466	4,613	21,079
2021				17,668	3,491	21,159
2022				18,674	2,495	21,169
2023				19,224	2,163	21,387
2024				19,573	1,829	21,402
Avg. % Change 2003-2013	3.6%	10.3%	5.6%			
Avg. % Change 2014-2024				3.5%	-13.3%	-0.2%

VIII. FACILITY TRENDS AND FORECAST

**TABLE 20
HISTORICAL DCC CENTER AVERAGE MONTHLY POPULATION WITH PEAKING FACTOR**

Year	Central		Northeast		Northwest		Southeast		Southeast TV		Southwest		Southwest TV		Omega TV	
	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor	Avg. Pop.	Peak Factor
2005	149.7	0.3%	238.8	1.6%	n/a	n/a	288.5	14.5%	n/a	n/a	380.6	6.0%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2006	149.8	0.1%	237.9	0.5%	n/a	n/a	327.9	0.9%	n/a	n/a	439.3	8.1%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2007	149.6	0.3%	237.3	0.6%	n/a	n/a	331.0	5.4%	n/a	n/a	473.5	0.2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2008	147.3	1.5%	204.8	14.5%	83.2	20.5%	335.6	3.5%	n/a	n/a	455.9	3.7%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2009	148.5	0.9%	178.5	29.8%	96.4	4.4%	299.6	5.0%	33.0	3.6%	458.1	3.4%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2010	149.7	0.3%	238.1	0.4%	98.2	1.4%	301.7	4.0%	33.5	4.5%	475.0	0.3%	n/a	n/a	281.4	0.8%
2011	148.2	1.2%	231.9	3.8%	96.3	3.7%	265.9	15.8%	32.5	10.8%	464.7	2.2%	n/a	n/a	242.4	18.8%
2012	147.0	3.4%	210.0	9.0%	96.0	4.2%	246.0	7.7%	49.0	40.8%	361.0	11.9%	70.0	37.1%	278.0	5.0%
2013	149.3	1.1%	215.2	8.3%	98.9	4.1%	239.0	29.7%	49.4	29.7%	356.6	4.0%	72.2	33.1%	272.3	9.2%
Avg. % Change	0.0%		-0.4%		3.7%		-2.0%		12.5%		-0.3%		6.3%		-0.4%	

Source: DCC facility monthly census 3/1/2005 – 12/31/2011; DCC facility daily census 2012 & 2013

**TABLE 21
HISTORICAL CENTRAL RELEASES**

Year	Supervision		Probation		ADC		Other		Total	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2005	178	272.2	34	162.8	14	108.0	22	236.8	248	234.4
2006	182	240.6	28	178.6	15	132.9	21	170.6	246	215.7
2007	162	243.3	44	172.6	18	124.0	36	241.1	260	200.1
2008	159	257.4	34	177.1	21	146.0	28	192.5	242	218.2
2009	161	247.7	32	206.0	18	148.3	64	132.6	275	199.3
2010	144	261.2	51	175.8	16	144.9	38	172.6	249	211.1
2011	161	303.0	58	258.8	20	196.6	46	118.4	285	285.2
2012	118	259.0	42	202.5	13	141.2	23	260.4	196	239.2
2013	155	272.4	43	215.7	16	141.5	5	256.0	219	250.7
Avg. % Change	-0.4%	0.5%	7.3%	5.3%	3.9%	5.1%	3.2%	9.9%	-0.4%	1.9%

**TABLE 22
HISTORICAL NORTHEAST RELEASES**

Year	Supervision		Probation		ADC		Other		Total	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2005	292	242.0	47	222.5	5	126.9	22	174.5	366	225.4
2006	305	241.2	61	174.5	10	183.3	20	212.5	396	223.4
2007	285	248.6	44	214.3	16	96.6	15	127.5	360	231.5
2008	283	251.3	39	245.6	5	150.6	21	257.3	348	243.3
2009	123	246.7	26	222.5	0	185.5	7	172.1	156	222.7
2010	255	243.0	66	224.9	8	171.0	25	236.9	354	226.5
2011	385	279.9	62	268.0	20	145.1	8	178.0	475	272.5
2012	276	251.3	63	221.6	4	110.0	4	128.0	347	243.3
2013	223	262.4	69	170.7	11	128.9	10	119.8	313	232.9
Avg. % Change	6.4%	1.2%	14.5%	-1.7%	n/a	5.8%	28.5%	3.6%	8.1%	0.8%

**TABLE 23
HISTORICAL OMEGA TVP RELEASES**

Year	Supervision		Probation		ADC		Other		Total	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2005	805	61.4	0	-	23	34.2	0	-	828	60.7
2006	1,552	61.6	0	-	17	34.5	2	-	1,571	61.3
2007	1,563	62.2	0	-	24	43.1	1	29.5	1,588	61.9
2008	1,542	63.2	0	-	28	51.1	6	47.0	1,576	64.3
2009	1,563	64.5	0	-	30	35.3	2	31.0	1,595	63.9
2010	1,566	64.4	0	-	28	20.5	7	20.6	1,601	64.4
2011	1,427	66.1	0	-	12	29.0	0	0.0	1,439	65.4
2012	1,492	65.5	0	-	61	41.1	1	22.0	1,554	64.5
2013	1,472	66.6	0	-	62	43.0	0	-	1,534	65.6
Avg. % Change	11.0%	1.0%	n/a	n/a	48.1%	7.4%	n/a	n/a	11.0%	1.0%

**TABLE 24
HISTORICAL SOUTHEAST RELEASES**

Year	Supervision		Probation		ADC		Other		Total	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2005	359	264.2	68	141.3	12	162.8	12	200.5	451	241.2
2006	416	229.6	83	151.7	5	201.2	9	163.6	513	215.6
2007	393	244.9	87	197.2	9	121.5	7	260.6	496	235.8
2008	348	248.3	84	197.8	1	171.5	91	644.0	524	219.9
2009	346	260.2	76	198.2	7	291.9	28	104.0	457	240.7
2010	318	268.5	94	215.4	6	198.3	16	310.7	434	252.2
2011	351	294.2	134	239.4	13	274.5	4	-	502	279.0
2012	217	285.2	109	201.3	5	224.2	10	181.0	341	254.4
2013	190	298.0	80	230.7	10	218.0	71	104.5	351	235.9
Avg. % Change	-6.3%	1.7%	4.4%	7.0%	n/a	10.1%	n/a	43.4%	-1.9%	0.1%

**TABLE 25
HISTORICAL SE TV & SW TV RELEASES**

Year	SE TV		SW TV	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2005	90	62.0	-	-
2006	117	62.0	-	-
2007	140	64.2	-	-
2008	158	64.0	-	-
2009	178	64.6	-	-
2010	187	63.9	-	-
2011	180	65.9	-	-
2012	250	68.0	251	61.1
2013	289	65.4	422	64.5
Avg. % Change	16.4%	0.7%	n/a	n/a

**TABLE 26
HISTORICAL SOUTHWEST RELEASES**

Year	Supervision		Probation		ADC		Other		Total	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2005	430	281.2	110	163.5	25	250.7	39	90.7	604	248.0
2006	436	243.6	145	165.5	15	240.4	28	199.1	624	220.6
2007	522	256.9	130	201.9	23	204.6	33	200.0	708	241.2
2008	507	265.3	101	198.4	13	265.8	46	230.4	667	252.3
2009	499	261.7	100	167.4	20	166.5	78	233.4	697	236.7
2010	487	265.4	96	191.0	27	196.2	87	244.0	697	244.5
2011	657	308.0	155	231.3	48	243.5	64	222.5	924	290.5
2012	367	271.1	116	173.4	25	184.6	174	111.6	682	210.8
2013	342	270.9	107	209.1	27	188.6	98	107.0	574	224.1
Avg. % Change	-0.2%	-0.1%	2.8%	4.6%	12.1%	-0.8%	26.5%	9.8%	0.8%	-0.3%

**TABLE 27
HISTORICAL NORTHWEST RELEASES**

Year	Supervision		Probation		ADC		Other		Total	
	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)	N	Avg. LOS (days)
2008	56	102.0	17	94.0	0	-	1	-	74	99.1
2009	123	240.5	26	202.2	0	-	7	-	156	227.7
2010	97	274.5	22	246.7	4	373.0	5	307.6	128	267.6
2011	114	312.2	30	242.8	3	257.3	0	-	147	297.0
2012	90	311.7	21	249.2	7	262.4	0	-	118	295.3
2013	104	300.4	34	245.6	4	253.5	0	-	142	286.0
Avg. % Change	22.1%	32.0%	21.2%	27.3%	21.8%	-10.8%	n/a	n/a	21.7%	30.9%

TABLE 28
PROJECTED FACILITY POPULATION 2013-2024

Year	Central	NE	NW	SW	Omega	SE	SE Tech	SW TV	Total
2013	149.3	215.2	98.9	272.3	239	49.4	356.6	72.2	1,452.9
2014	149.4	215.0	99.3	276.0	239.0	50.3	356.6	74.5	1,460.0
2015	149.4	215.0	99.6	276.6	239.0	51.1	356.6	74.8	1,462.2
2016	149.5	215.1	100.0	277.1	239.0	52.0	356.6	75.0	1,464.3
2017	149.6	215.1	100.4	277.7	239.0	52.9	356.6	75.3	1,466.5
2018	149.7	215.2	100.7	278.2	238.9	53.8	356.6	75.5	1,468.7
2019	149.7	215.2	101.1	278.8	238.9	54.7	356.6	75.8	1,470.9
2020	149.8	215.3	101.5	279.3	238.9	55.7	356.6	76.0	1,473.1
2021	149.9	215.3	101.9	279.9	238.9	56.6	356.6	76.3	1,475.4
2022	150.0	215.4	102.2	280.4	238.9	57.6	356.6	76.5	1,477.6
2023	150.0	215.4	102.6	281.0	238.9	58.6	356.6	76.8	1,479.9
2024	150.1	215.5	103.0	281.6	238.9	59.6	356.6	77.0	1,482.2
Avg. % Change	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.2%

IX. MONTHLY PROJECTIONS TABLES FOR PAROLE AND PROBATION PROJECTIONS

**TABLE 29
TOTAL PROBATIONER PROJECTIONS**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Actual 2013	30,786	30,702	30,556									
2014	30,766	30,689	30,519	30,338	30,144	30,087	29,931	29,876	29,812	29,803	29,737	29,696
2015	29,642	29,607	29,576	29,529	29,508	29,469	29,398	29,327	29,489	29,332	37,265	29,198
2016	29,142	29,107	29,074	29,029	29,028	28,969	28,898	28,827	28,743	28,632	28,565	28,548
2017	28,476	28,419	28,376	28,373	28,295	28,275	28,238	28,181	28,129	28,026	27,979	27,943
2018	27,922	27,892	27,828	27,762	27,707	27,670	27,635	27,645	27,629	27,586	27,520	27,511
2019	27,456	27,421	27,371	27,349	27,318	27,278	27,261	27,203	27,137	27,065	27,018	26,993
2020	26,945	26,891	26,856	26,860	26,834	26,810	26,774	26,741	26,699	26,632	26,591	26,589
2021	26,519	26,457	26,461	26,411	26,371	26,334	26,324	26,283	26,262	26,273	26,211	26,209
2022	26,165	26,165	26,120	26,105	26,097	26,047	26,034	26,042	26,017	26,026	25,998	26,020
2023	26,012	26,000	25,968	25,946	25,950	25,942	25,924	25,866	25,852	25,821	25,742	25,754
2024	25,730	25,721	25,690	25,713	25,650	25,666	25,637	25,611	25,583	25,573	25,564	25,577

**TABLE 30
ACTIVE PROBATIONER PROJECTIONS**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Actual 2013	20,310	20,263	20,172									
2014	20,301	20,267	20,129	19,947	19,774	19,726	19,570	19,540	19,501	19,505	19,447	19,403
2015	21,029	21,015	21,008	20,965	20,958	20,931	20,877	20,831	21,002	20,848	28,788	20,714
2016	23,258	23,232	23,208	23,173	23,176	23,134	23,066	22,997	22,927	22,825	22,759	22,739
2017	23,243	23,192	23,158	23,173	23,102	23,085	23,051	23,001	22,955	22,865	22,824	22,787
2018	24,305	24,273	24,222	24,161	24,113	24,082	24,049	24,067	24,053	24,013	23,952	23,942
2019	24,493	24,462	24,415	24,396	24,374	24,332	24,324	24,270	24,208	24,143	24,098	24,074
2020	24,481	24,426	24,397	24,400	24,374	24,352	24,317	24,285	24,249	24,182	24,144	24,144
2021	24,510	24,450	24,458	24,406	24,371	24,334	24,322	24,281	24,264	24,282	24,223	24,219
2022	24,857	24,860	24,815	24,800	24,792	24,745	24,733	24,742	24,716	24,723	24,694	24,714
2023	24,874	24,864	24,833	24,813	24,817	24,809	24,791	24,733	24,718	24,689	24,613	24,623
2024	24,688	24,678	24,647	24,671	24,608	24,624	24,597	24,572	24,547	24,538	24,530	24,542

**TABLE 31
INACTIVE PROBATIONER PROJECTIONS**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Actual 2013	10,476	10,439	10,384									
2014	10,465	10,422	10,390	10,391	10,370	10,361	10,361	10,336	10,311	10,298	10,290	10,293
2015	8,613	8,592	8,568	8,564	8,550	8,538	8,521	8,496	8,487	8,484	8,477	8,484
2016	5,884	5,875	5,866	5,856	5,852	5,835	5,832	5,830	5,816	5,807	5,806	5,809
2017	5,233	5,227	5,218	5,200	5,193	5,190	5,187	5,180	5,174	5,161	5,155	5,156
2018	3,617	3,619	3,606	3,601	3,594	3,588	3,586	3,578	3,576	3,573	3,568	3,569
2019	2,963	2,959	2,956	2,953	2,944	2,946	2,937	2,933	2,929	2,922	2,920	2,919
2020	2,464	2,465	2,459	2,460	2,460	2,458	2,457	2,456	2,450	2,450	2,447	2,445
2021	2,009	2,007	2,003	2,005	2,000	2,000	2,002	2,002	1,998	1,991	1,988	1,990
2022	1,308	1,305	1,305	1,305	1,305	1,302	1,301	1,300	1,301	1,303	1,304	1,306
2023	1,138	1,136	1,135	1,133	1,133	1,133	1,133	1,133	1,134	1,132	1,129	1,131
2024	1,042	1,043	1,043	1,042	1,042	1,042	1,040	1,039	1,036	1,035	1,034	1,035

**TABLE 32
TOTAL PAROLE POPULATION PROJECTIONS**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Actual 2013	21,887	22,030	21,868									
2014	21,862	21,856	21,880	21,890	21,937	21,915	21,958	21,879	21,830	21,822	21,764	21,921
2015	21,705	21,672	21,669	21,655	21,656	21,664	21,634	21,627	21,630	21,636	21,598	21,542
2016	21,510	21,517	21,446	21,403	21,407	21,407	21,423	21,358	21,257	21,252	21,181	21,172
2017	21,152	21,161	21,093	21,046	21,061	21,010	21,064	21,094	21,112	21,125	21,180	21,209
2018	21,233	21,257	21,245	21,226	21,203	21,137	21,110	21,168	21,194	21,134	21,110	21,138
2019	21,134	21,110	21,061	21,081	21,047	21,042	21,018	20,991	21,059	21,102	21,116	21,112
2020	21,134	21,103	21,111	21,125	21,076	21,033	21,064	21,088	21,089	21,102	21,082	21,079
2021	21,062	21,050	21,094	21,055	21,056	21,054	21,087	21,088	21,071	21,084	21,131	21,159
2022	21,123	21,152	21,146	21,153	21,126	21,139	21,187	21,176	21,161	21,172	21,182	21,169
2023	21,195	21,210	21,240	21,229	21,266	21,234	21,271	21,260	21,312	21,333	21,351	21,387
2024	21,384	21,383	21,383	21,332	21,321	21,295	21,337	21,335	21,388	21,357	21,337	21,402

**TABLE 33
ACTIVE PAROLE PROJECTIONS**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Actual 2013	13,408	13,728	13,786									
2014	13,381	13,530	13,774	13,784	13,833	13,817	13,904	13,840	13,798	13,784	13,734	13,895
2015	13,816	13,783	13,783	13,773	13,785	13,786	13,753	13,755	13,756	13,755	13,729	13,668
2016	13,833	13,848	13,774	13,745	13,748	13,743	13,744	13,669	13,569	13,558	13,486	13,476
2017	13,663	13,665	13,598	13,550	13,567	13,513	13,566	13,598	13,618	13,636	13,675	13,694
2018	14,897	14,917	14,910	14,903	14,889	14,826	14,812	14,868	14,905	14,837	14,807	14,849
2019	15,474	15,454	15,403	15,412	15,376	15,377	15,345	15,308	15,365	15,404	15,421	15,422
2020	16,492	16,460	16,468	16,493	16,446	16,395	16,430	16,469	16,482	16,489	16,473	16,466
2021	17,569	17,562	17,603	17,574	17,580	17,570	17,603	17,607	17,587	17,596	17,647	17,668
2022	18,654	18,682	18,673	18,676	18,649	18,666	18,710	18,701	18,685	18,693	18,690	18,674
2023	19,036	19,048	19,075	19,067	19,105	19,074	19,109	19,096	19,147	19,168	19,184	19,224
2024	19,572	19,571	19,568	19,516	19,502	19,477	19,516	19,513	19,562	19,532	19,509	19,573

**TABLE 34
INACTIVE PAROLE PROJECTIONS**

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Actual 2013	8,479	8,302	8,082									
2014	8,481	8,326	8,106	8,106	8,104	8,098	8,054	8,039	8,032	8,038	8,030	8,026
2015	7,889	7,889	7,886	7,882	7,871	7,878	7,881	7,872	7,874	7,881	7,869	7,874
2016	7,677	7,669	7,672	7,658	7,659	7,664	7,679	7,689	7,688	7,694	7,695	7,696
2017	7,489	7,496	7,495	7,496	7,494	7,497	7,498	7,496	7,494	7,489	7,505	7,515
2018	6,336	6,340	6,335	6,323	6,314	6,311	6,298	6,300	6,289	6,297	6,303	6,289
2019	5,660	5,656	5,658	5,669	5,671	5,665	5,673	5,683	5,694	5,698	5,695	5,690
2020	4,642	4,643	4,643	4,632	4,630	4,638	4,634	4,619	4,607	4,613	4,609	4,613
2021	3,493	3,488	3,491	3,481	3,476	3,484	3,484	3,481	3,484	3,488	3,484	3,491
2022	2,469	2,470	2,473	2,477	2,477	2,473	2,477	2,475	2,476	2,479	2,492	2,495
2023	2,159	2,162	2,165	2,162	2,161	2,160	2,162	2,164	2,165	2,165	2,167	2,163
2024	1,812	1,812	1,815	1,816	1,819	1,818	1,821	1,822	1,826	1,825	1,828	1,829